

Evaluation criteria at the Japan-Israel joint evaluation

Category	Criteria
<p>No.1</p> <p>The scientific and technological merit of the proposal</p>	<p>A The scientific and technological merit of the proposal is very high, and it is considered as a high priority research. The proposal is considered as an international joint research which is very highly needed. (40 points)</p> <p>B The scientific and technological merit of the proposal is high, and it is considered as a priority research. The proposal is considered as an international joint research which is highly needed. (30 points)</p> <p>C The scientific and technological merit of the proposal is medium. The proposal is considered as an international joint research which is modestly needed. (15 points)</p> <p>D The scientific and technological merit of the proposal is low. The proposal is considered as an international joint research which is not needed. (0 points)</p>
<p>No.2</p> <p>The feasibility of the objectives</p>	<p>A The objectives and the research plan are ambitious and specific, and the feasibility of the objectives is very high. (20 points)</p> <p>B The objectives and the research plan are specific, and the feasibility of the objectives is high. (15 points)</p> <p>C The objectives and the research plan are normal, and the feasibility of the objectives is medium, considering the past research outcome. (10 points)</p> <p>D The objectives and the research plan are not specific, and the feasibility of the objectives is low, considering the past research outcome. (0 point)</p>
<p>No.3</p> <p>The quality of the cooperation and the</p>	<p>A The quality of the cooperation is very high. The number of researchers is sufficient and research facilities are well equipped. (20 points)</p>

<p>suitability of the participants' teams and their facilities.</p>	<p>B The quality of the cooperation or the research organization (researcher, facility) is a little bit unfavorable but sufficient for the implementation of the proposal. (15 points)</p> <p>C The quality of the cooperation or the research organization (researcher, facility) is unfavorable, and it might hinder the implementation of the proposal. (10 points)</p> <p>D The quality of the cooperation and the research organization (researcher, facility) are unfavorable, and the implementation of the proposal is not expected very well. (0 point)</p>
<p>No.4 The anticipated benefits to agriculture and/or the environment and the uniqueness brought by the joint collaboration.</p>	<p>A Compared to international standards, the level of novelty, creativity and innovativeness are very high. Future practical use and commercialization will be very likely. (20 points)</p> <p>B Compared to the international standards, the level of novelty, creativity and innovativeness are high. Future practical use and commercialization will be very likely. (15 points)</p> <p>C Compared to the international standards, novelty, creativity and innovativeness are modest. Future practical use and commercialization will be modestly likely. (10 points)</p> <p>D Compared to the international standards, novelty, creativity and innovativeness are low. Future practical use and commercialization will be unlikely. (0 point)</p>